# The Paper Prepared for ESAM08 Markets and Models: Policy Frontiers in the AWH Phillips Tradition July 9-11, 2008

Wellington, New Zealand

# **Testing For Price Convergence in Chinese Energy Markets**

Hengyun MA<sup>1,2</sup>, Les OXLEY<sup>2</sup> and John GIBSON<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Henan Agricultural University

<sup>2</sup> University of Canterbury

<sup>3</sup> University of Waikato

hengyun.ma@canterbury.ac.nz

July 2008

# **Testing For Price Convergence in Chinese Energy Markets**

### 1. Introduction

Oil prices have been closely scrutinized by the applied economics literature during the past three decades (Lanza, Manera and Giovannini, 2005). Many applied research and policy studies have examined the role played by the price of oil in determining economic growth or inflation rates both in developed and developing countries (Adrangi et al., 2001; Asche et al., 2003; Stern, 2000; Girma and Paulson, 1999; Gjolberg and Johnsen, 1999; Serletis, 1994; Shaked and Sutton, 1982). However, the energy price movements can also be examined to see whether local intervention has resulted in significant barriers to trade and to provide more empirical evidence on the extent of market liberalization in China. The ongoing transition of former communist countries from planned to market economies has been one of the most important economic phenomena in the last few decades. Therefore, it is interesting to discern whether the liberalization of domestic trade prompts major shifts in price structures that were highly distorted under central planning. This study investigates energy price movements in China with a data set that consists of 4 energy types (coal, electricity, gasoline and diesel) in 35 cities (all of which are provincial or autonomous regions and municipal cities) over a maximum of 132 months (from 1995 to 2005).

Such a study is needed because of ongoing debate about whether Chinaøs gradualist reform has been successful (Lau, Qian and Roland, 2000; Young, 2000; Poncet, 2003 and 2005). It is well known that since China embarked on its economic reform and adopted an open door policy in the late 1970s, its economic development has been greatly fuelled by its active participation in international trade. However, there is more debate about domestic trade and in rent years, Chinaøs major trading partners have strongly urged it to open its domestic market further, especially after it has admitted to the World Trade Organization. However, even if the Chinese government removes the barriers to international trade significantly, the effectiveness of this policy might be affected by regional trade barriers within China itself (Fan and Wei, 2006).

It is thus important to test for market integration, which can provide important information on how the market works in China (Zang, Wan and Chen, 2000). Such

information may help the government to decide the extent to which it should intervene in the market (Wyeth, 1992).

Energy market integration has been extensively investigated worldwide since the 1990s (Asche, Osmundsen and Tveteras, 2002; Asche, Osmundsen and Sandssmark, 2006; Bachmeier and Griffin, 2006; De Vany and Walls, 1999; Narayan and Smyth, 2005; Adrangi, Chatrath, Raffiee and Ripple, 2001; Asche, Gjolberg and Volker, 2003; Gjolberg and Johnsen, 1999; Serletis, 1994; Weiner, 1991). Recent work, however, reveals only one study, Fan and Wei (2006), which tests for The Law of One Price in China, covering 72 time series (41 industrial products, 20 agricultural products, 13 other consumer goods and 18 service products). However, the Fan and Wei study includes only two fuel variables (gasoline and diesel). To the best of our knowledge, there has been no specific study on energy market integration using data from China.

This study has two major goals. The first goal is to investigate energy market integration across major cities in China. The second goal is to estimate the rate at which relative prices converge to their long-run values across cities and to examine causality relationships across cities to observe how prices change between cities. The rest of paper is organized as follow. The next section presents our empirical methodologies, followed by data description. Section 4 discusses the estimated results and provides main findings. Section 5 concludes.

#### 2. Methodologies

A common approach to investigate market integration is to apply unit root tests to examine whether price differential series are stationary. The rejection of the unit root hypothesis implies that the time series of relative prices are stationary, so that relative prices will converge in the long run. Otherwise, if the tests fail to reject the null hypothesis, the relative price series are will follow a random walk (Fan and Wei, 2006).

As a first step, Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) tests are carried out for four kinds of energy products over all cities to examine whether their relative price series [ $p_{ijt} = \ln(g_{ij,t}/g_{j,t})$ ] are stationary. The regression takes the following form:

$$\Delta p_{ij,t} = c_{ij} + \alpha_{ij} p_{ij,t-1} + \sum_{h}^{k} \beta_{ijh} \Delta p_{ij,t-h} + \varepsilon_{ij,t}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Where  $\Delta$  is the first-difference operator; c,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the parameters to be estimated;  $\varepsilon$  is an identically independently distributed (*i.i.d*) error term; *i*, *j* and *t* stand for city, product and time. The ADF unit root test is simply the test on whether  $\alpha_{ij}$  is negative and statistically significant. The number of lags, *k*, to be included in equation (1) for each product and city series is determined individually by using the modified Hannan-Quinn criterion on a city-by-city and product-by-product bases.

All the ADF specifications include an intercept term in order to capture city-specific fixed effects. Such effects may cover, for instance, city-specific transportation, income levels, and local non-traded costs. The inclusion of the intercept term is to demonstrate whether prices converge to absolute price parity (zero mean) or relative price parity (nonzero mean).

It is convenient using one city as a benchmark  $(g_{j,t})$  to generate relative price series to conduct the ADF unit root tests. Theoretically, it is possible that all of the ADF unit root tests will reject null hypothesis no matter which city is chosen as a benchmark  $(g_{j,t})$ if the energy market is completely integrated. Meanwhile, there may be apparent differences across energy products in the degree of market integration. Therefore, it may be a good way to first conduct the ADF unit root tests using one city as a benchmark to see how many tests reject null. If the ADF unit root tests show almost all of them reject the unit root hypothesis for some energy products, it may not be necessary to further conduct the ADF unit root tests of pairs of relative price series on city-by-city basis. However, there may be more likely the second scenario that most of the ADF unit root tests do not reject null hypothesis. In this case, it can be explained that one city (or called regional market) is not integrated with the benchmark city (or region), but it does not mean this city (or regional market) is not integrated with some other cities (or regional markets). Therefore, we may need to conduct the ADF unit root tests on a city-by-city basis under this circumstance. This implies that the markets of some products may not be integrated nationally, but it can be integrated regionally due to, for example, transportation cost or network connection (especially for power supply market). Finally, a whole country test based upon a system of cointegrated equations would provide the ultimate test.

Traditionally used unit root tests, such as the Dickey-Fuller (DF), augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP), lack power in distinguishing the unit root null hypothesis from stationary alternatives. Consequently, using panel data unit root tests is one way of increasing the power of unit root tests based on a single time series (Banerjee, 1999; Maddala and Wu, 1999). Hence, since the appearance of the papers by Levin and Lin (1992, 1993), the use of panel data unit root test has become very popular among empirical studies with access to the relevant data. There are various versions of panel unit root test, which have both advantages and disadvantages. For details of the panel data unit root test approach, an overview and comparison see Banerjee (1999) and Maddala and Wu (1999).

It is also interesting to see the rate at which the relative price series converge to their long-run values. Ceglowski (1999) discusses the rates of convergence and their half-lives using the expression  $\ln(0.5)/\ln(1+\alpha_{ij})$ , where  $\alpha_{ij}$  is the parameters to be estimated in equation (1). Since the energy spot price data used are recorded every 10-days, the final half-lives are expressed in months by dividing the expression by 3.

It is also interesting to establish the direction of flows of information between energy markets and by implication, the test of Granger causality, the following regression can be estimated:

$$\Delta P_{it} = \theta_{11} \Delta P_{i,t-1} + \dots + \theta_{1n} \Delta P_{i,t-n} + \theta_{21} \Delta P_{j,t-1} + \dots + \theta_{2n} \Delta P_{j,t-n}$$
(2)  
$$-\gamma_1 (P_{it-1} - \alpha P_{jt-1} - \delta) + \varepsilon_{1t} \Delta P_{jt} = \theta_{31} \Delta P_{jt-1} + \dots + \theta_{3n} \Delta P_{jt-n} + \theta_{41} \Delta P_{it-1} + \dots + \theta_{4n} \Delta P_{it-n} - \gamma_2 (P_{it-1} - \alpha P_{jt-1} - \delta) + \varepsilon_{2t}$$
(3)

The causality tests can be undertaken with the following null hypotheses:

$$\theta_{21} = \dots = \theta_{2n} = \gamma_1 = 0 \tag{4}$$

Which implies there is no causality from  $p_i$  to  $p_i$ .

$$\theta_{41} = \dots = \theta_{4n} = \gamma_2 = 0 \tag{5}$$

Which implies there is no causality from  $p_i$  to  $p_j$ .

# 3. Data

The data used in this empirical study are a panel data set of monthly prices for four energy fuels in 35 major Chinese cities.<sup>1</sup> The price data are collected by the China Price Information Center (CPIC) ó a division of the State Development Planning Commission (SDPC) of the Peopleøs Republic of China.<sup>2</sup> The data are used in this study are spot prices and are regularly collected on a 10-day interval (the 5<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> of each month) from local markets by governmental agencies.

Unlike other market price data, fuel price data set has no missing information during the study period because fuels are extensively used in all cities. Empirically, this study includes four major fuel products, which are coal, electricity, gasoline and diesel. These panel data sets are also truly nationally representative because they cover the main fuel components (e.g., coal, electricity, gasoline and diesel), all provincial capital cities of mainland China, and a longer period, 1995 to 2005.

This is in contrast with most of the empirical studies, which employ a price index of lower frequency (such as annual) data. The 10-day frequency of our price data corresponds well to the time needed for domestic price arbitrage as lower frequency (monthly) price data are not as appropriate as high frequency (10-day) specific product price data for examining price convergence (Taylor, 2001). Furthermore, monthly spot prices are not nearly as rich a data source as 10-day spot prices, particularly if one wants to measure the half-life of subsequent adjustment following the shorter time response (Bachmeier and Griffin, 2006).

The quality of Chinese data is often criticised as data reporting in China is likely affected by political factors (Rawski, 2001). However, we believe that the data for specific product prices collected by local government agencies under strict government mandates are unlikely to be subject to manipulation. Central government specifies the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The cities are Beijing, Tianjin, Shijiazhuang, Taiyuan, Huhehaote, Shenyang, Changchun, Harbin, Shanghai, Nanjing, Hangzhou, Hefei, Fuzhou, Nanchang, Jinan, Zhengzhou, Wuhan, Changsha, Guangzhou, Nanning, Haikou, Chongqing, Chengdu, Guiyang, Kunming, Lhasa, Xian, Lanzhou, Xining, Yinchuan, Wulumuqi, Qingdao, Dalian, Xiamen, Ningbo. They include four municipalities and all the capital cities for the 31 provinces and autonomous regions in mainland China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The price data are collected to provide price information to the central and local governments for macroeconomic management. According to State law, the local price bureaus in 36 major cities are obligated to report price information for a specified list of products to the Price Information Center. The price information must be collected from fixed local markets. The fuel price information is collected three times a month, on the 5<sup>th</sup>, the 15<sup>th</sup> and the 25<sup>th</sup> day of the month. The fuel names are uniform across all cities, and all prices must be market prices.

collection of prices for specific products at fixed dates and locations and these price data are also available to the public so that local officials would find it hard to report false data. Unlike macro-economic data (such as GDP growth and employment rates), these micro data for prices could hardly serve as indicators in assessing the performance of local officials and hence local officials have no incentives to falsify them.

## 4. Results and discussions

As a rule, we first conduct the ADF unit root tests to observe whether our raw price data series contain a unit root. The unit root test results are displayed in Appendix 1 for level data series and Appendix 2 for the first difference series. The ADF unit root tests show that each of 35 city raw price data series for four energy products exhibit unit root and integrated of order one. All tests suggest that the first differences of the series are stationary.

Having established that the raw price series each contain a unit root, we first conduct the ADF unit root test for relative price series using Shanghai as a benchmark to examine whether energy prices of all other cities converge with that of Shanghai. The test results are shown in Table 1. It can be seen from Table 1 that for coal of the 35 relative price series there are 11 that are significant at the 5% level and two at the 10% level. This means that there are not many relative price series convergent for coal market. For electricity, there are fewer relative price series significant level rejection of null (only three at the 10% significant level and three at the 5% significant level).

However, for gasoline and diesel the opposite is true. Here, all but six (Tianjin, Hangzhou, Nanning, Chongqing, Lhasa and Ningbo) of the ADF unit root tests show a 5% significant level rejection for gasoline relative price series. The same can be observed for diesel (except for six insignificant series: Huhehaote, Hefei, Guangzhou, Kunming, Lhasa and Wulumuqi, among which there are still two series significant at the 10% level).

The primary conclusion we can make is that most of gasoline and diesel prices of other cities are convergent with that of Shanghai. If we take account of some special and remote regions (e.g., Lhasa, Wulumuqi, Kunming), almost all prices series are convergent with each other. Therefore, the gasoline and diesel markets of Mainland China should be with regarded as integrated. Generally, this finding is consistent with that in Fan and Wei (2006), the only difference is that this study has observed prices of more cities to be convergent, which can be due to the more frequently and more updated prices used in this study. As for coal and electricity prices, we need to conduct further ADF unit root tests on a city-by-city basis because it is possible for those insignificant to be convergent with that of its neighbours of convergent with Shanghai.

Following the ADF unit root tests above, we have collected t-statistics of the intercept term in equation (1) and calculated half-lives only for those convergent prices. The results are shown in Table 2. It can be seen from Table 2 that coal prices are more likely to converge to relative price parity (nonzero mean) because almost all of the relative price series found to be convergent have a significant intercept term. As expected, there are no intercept terms found to be significant, not any even at the 10% significant level for the electricity market, suggesting convergence is very rapid. Meanwhile, it can be seen that gasoline market prices are more likely to converge to relative price parity than diesel prices because more significant intercept terms have been found for gasoline (9 pairs of relative price series) than for diesel (only 4 pairs of relative price series).

Turning to the half-lives estimated, it can be seen that for coal and electricity (2.7 and 2.9 months, respectively) they are longer than those of gasoline and diesel (1.6 and 1.8 months, respectively). It also appears that the half-lives are not only dependent upon distance, but transportation and communication facilities and other factors as well. For example, it takes 2.4 months for the diesel relative price to converge to its long run value in Nanjing (closer to Shanghai), but it only takes 0.9 months in Zhengzhou (far from Shanghai).

No matter how many relative price series have been found to have rejected the null hypothesis, whether or not energy markets are integrated is still unclear. Therefore, the next step turns to conduct panel unit root test to answer whether energy markets in Mainland China are integrated as a whole. Various panel unit root tests are displayed in Table 3. It can be seen from Table 3 that various panel unit root tests for gasoline and diesel reject the null hypothesis, which means that both gasoline and diesel prices are convergent, in other words, gasoline and diesel markets in Mainland China are integrated. This finding is fundamentally consistent with that of traditional ADF unit root tests.

However, all of the coal panel unit root tests reject the null hypothesis and also more than half of the electricity panel unit root tests reject the null hypothesis. These panel unit root test results contradict those based on the traditional ADF unit root tests (see Table 1). Interestingly, some literature also presents the same contradictive scenarios. For example, in Fan and Wei (2006), there are 7 convergent cities out of 31 cities for tin, 9 convergent cities out of 31 cities for cast pig iron, 4 convergent cities out of 25 cities for caustic soda, 6 convergent cities out of 35 cities for sulfuric acid and only 2 convergent cities out of 23 cities for refrigerator, and 5 convergent cities out of 35 cities for corn flour, etc, but all of their panel unit root tests reject the null hypothesis. This contradiction also urges us to conduct further traditional ADF unit root tests on city-by-city basis. Therefore, we will next focus on only coal and electricity prices.

The ADF unit root test results on city-by-city basis are presented as Table 4, which clearly shows how the coal price in each city converges to that in the other city. It can be seen that there are 111 pairs rejecting the null hypothesis, accounting for 24% of total (465) pairs of relative price series. There are 16 pairs of relative price series rejecting null hypothesis for Nanning and Chongqing. There are 10-13 pairs of relative price series rejecting null hypothesis for 8 cities (Beijing, Shijiazhuang, Huhehaote, Shanghai, Hefei, Wuhan, Chengdu and Xian). It is very interesting to find that only two pairs of relative coal price series reject the null for Taiyuan (Shanxi province) considered it is most important coal production base and accounts for approximate 20% of national coal supply.

As for electricity, fewer pairs of relative price series reject the null hypothesis (see Appendix 3). It can be seen that there are 81 pairs rejecting the null hypothesis, accounting for 17% of the total of (465) pairs of relative price series. There are 9-13 pairs of relative price series rejecting the null hypothesis in only 7 cities (Shijiazhuang, Huhehaote, Nanchang, Zhengzhou, Haikou, Chengdu and Lhasa). Hence, there appears to be fewer examples of convergence.

Using the expression  $\ln(0.5)/\ln(1+\alpha_{ij})$  and the estimated  $\alpha_{ij}$  from equation (1), we calculate half-lives for those relative price series that reject the null hypothesis. The results are shown in Table 5 (for coal) and in Appendix 4 (for electricity). For the coal market, the average is about 4.6 months, which means it takes 4.6 months for coal

relative prices to converge to their long-run values. The estimated half-lives do not vary much and most of them range from 3.3 to 4.5 months (if we drop two extremely values for Lhasa (7.4) and Wulumuqi (8.5)). For the electricity market, it takes only 2.9 months (average in Appendix 4) for electricity relative prices to converge to their long-run values. Likewise, there is not much variation in estimated half-lives across cities.

Turning now to the test of the causality relationship between each pair of the markets where the relative prices significantly converge to long-run value, we consider the results for coal and electricity. Firstly, according to the results in Table 6, some cities have bi-directional causality with others, among them Shanghai has bi-directional causality relationship with 18 cities. We then consider Taiyuan (with 12 cities), Nanjing (with 10 cities), and Xining (with 14 cities). Secondly, causality most likely originates from Shijiazhuang and Yinchuan (causing 20 other city price change), Shanghai (causing 18 other city price change), Wuhan and Kunming (causing 21 other cities price change), and Guangzhou (causing 22 other city price change). In addition, causality also likely originates from Beijing, Taiyuan, Hangzhou, Jinan, Haikou and Xining (causing about 15 other cities price change). Third, the results show that causality originates not only from supply regions (e.g., Taiyuan, Xian and Zhengzhou) but from demand regions (Shanghai, Guangdong, Yinchuan, Kunming and Wuhan). However, it seems that price change signals more likely originate from demand regions. Finally, it is no doubt that Guiyang, Lhasa, Lanzhou and Wulumuqi have less causality relationship with outside, but it seems strange for Tianjin and Nanjing not to have much causality relationship with outside.

For electricity, it seems there are more cities having bi-directional causality relationship with outside (see Appendix 5). For example, Tianjin, Zhijiazhuang, Harbin, Shanghai, Fuzhou, Chengdu, Kunming and Lanzhou have significant double-direction causality relationship with 17-19 outsiders. Causality most likely originates from Shijiazhuang, Shanghai, Wuhan, Guangdong, Kunming and Yinchuan. The electricity price changes in Huhehaote, Taiyuan, Harbin, Shanghai, Changsha, Nanning, Xian and Xining are most likely caused by outsiders.

#### 5. Conclusions

This paper investigates market integration in Mainland China by employing common ADF unit root tests and familiar causality tests using unique high frequency energy price data sets. Furthermore, the paper has estimated the rates at which relative prices converge to their long-run values and observed the flow of energy price between cities. The major findings are as follow:

First, both common ADF unit root test and panel unit root test have shown that gasoline and diesel markets are fundamentally integrated because almost all tests reject the unit root hypothesis when applied to relative prices. Taking into account some special and remote cities (some western and southwestern small cities, such as Lhasa, Wulumuqi, Guiyang and Lanzhou), it may be safe to conclude that gasoline and diesel markets are fairly well integrated. This finding is consistent with international literatures (Fan and Wei, 2006; Panagiotidis and Emilie, 2007).

Although all tests disclose that coal and electricity markets in mainland China seem not as well integrated as gasoline and diesel markets, this may be due in part to the bulk of coal and non-storability of electricity and power network disconnection. As a consequence, panel unit root tests reject the null hypothesis, which may imply that coal and electricity markets in Mainland China are still quite integrated as a whole.

Second, there are not any significant intercept terms, electricity prices empirically and theoretically converge to absolute price parity due to no more transportation cost once started. However, coal and gasoline prices more likely converge to their relative price parities due partly to significant transportation cost.

Third, the rates at which relative prices converge to their long-run values are very close between each relative price series within the same energy product and also fairy short compared with those internationally.

Fourth, there are rich causality relationships between cities for coal and electricity. Causality not only originates from supply cities but from demand cities as well.

The next stage of the research will consider, in more detail, the system-based tests of convergence that are facilitates by Johansen-type method of cointegration using the approaches developed by Bernard and Durlauf (1995 and 1996) and Greasley and Oxley (2000).

# **References:**

- 1. Adrangi, B., Chatrath, A., Raffiee, K., Ripple, R.D., 2001. Alaska North Slope crude oil price and the behavior of diesel prices in California. Energy Economics 23, 296 42.
- 2. Alessandro Lanza, Matteo Manera and Massimo Giovannini. 2005. Modeling and forecasting cointegrated relationships among heavy oil and product prices. Energy Economics 27, 831-848.
- 3. Asche, F., Gjolberg, O., Vo<sup>--</sup> lker, T., 2003. Price relationships in the petroleum market. An analysis of crude oil and refined product prices. Energy Economics 25, 2896 301.
- 4. Asche, F., Osmunddsen, P., Sandssmark, M., 2006. The UK market for natural gas, oil and electricity: are the prices decoupled? The Energy Journal 27 (2), 27640.
- 5. Asche, F., Osmundsen, P., Tveterås, R., 2002. European market integration for gas volume flexibility and political risk. Energy Economics 24, 2496265.
- 6. Bachmeier, L.J., Griffin, J.M., 2006. Testing for market integration: crude oil, coal, and natural gas. The Energy Journal 27, 55671.
- 7. Banerjee, Anindya, 1999. Panel data unit roots and cointegration: an overview. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 61, 607-629
- 8. Bernard, A. B. and Durlauf, S. N., 1996. Interpreting tests of the convergence hypothesis, Journal of Econometrics 71, 161-74.
- 9. Bernard, A.B., and Durlauf, S.N., 1995. Convergence in international output. Journal of Applied Econometrics 10, 97-108.
- 10. Ceglowski, Jenet., 2003. The law of one price: intranational evidence for Canada. Canadian Journal of Economics 36, 373-400.
- 11. De Vany, A., Walls, W.D., 1999. Cointegration analysis of spot electricity prices: insights on transmission efficiency in the western US. Energy Economics 21, 4356448.
- 12. Fan, C. Simon and Xiangdong Wei. 2006. The law of one price: evidence from the transitional economy of China. The Review of Economics and Statistics 88. 682-697.
- 13. Girma, P., Paulson, A., 1999. Risk arbitrage opportunities in petroleum futures spreads. Journal of Future Markets 19, 9316955.
- 14. Gjolberg, O., Johnsen, T., 1999. Risk management in the oil industry: can information on long-run equilibrium prices be utilized? Energy Economics 21, 5176 527.
- 15. Greasley, David and Les Oxley, 2000. British industrialization 1815-1860: a disaggregate time series perspective. Explorations in Economic History 37, 98-119.
- 16. Lau, Lawrence J., Yingyi Qian and Gerard Roland. 2000. Reform without losers: an interpretation of Chinaøs dual-track approach to transition. Journal of political Economics 108, 120-143.
- 17. Maddala, G. S., and Shaowen Wu., 1999. A comparative study of unit root tests with panel data and a new simple test. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 61, 631-652.
- 18. Narayan, P.K., Smyth, R., 2005. The residential demand for electricity in Australia: an application of the bounds testing approach to cointegration. Energy Policy 33, 4676474.
- 19. Panagiotidis, Theodore and Emilie Rutledge., 2007. Oil and gas markets in the UK: evidence from a cointegration approach. Energy Economics 29, 3296347.

- 20. Poncet, Sandra. 2003. Measuring Chinese domestic and international integration. China Economic Review 14, 1-21.
- 21. Poncet, Sandra. 2005. A fragmented China: measure and determinants of Chinese domestic market disintegration. Review of International Economics 13, 409-430.
- 22. Serletis, A., 1994. A integration analysis of petroleum futures prices. Energy Economics 16, 936 97.
- 23. Shaked, A., Sutton, J., 1982. Relaxing price competition through product differentiation. Review of Economic Studies 49, 3 6 13.
- 24. Stern, David I., 2000. A multivariate cointegration analysis of the role of energy in the US macroeconomy. Energy Economics 22, 267-283.
- 25. Weiner, R., 1991. Is the world oil market done great pool? The Energy Journal 12, 956107.
- 26. Young, Alwyn. 2000. The razorøs edge: distortions and incremental reform in the Peopleøs Republic of China. The Quarterly Journal of Economics CXV, 1091-1135.

	C	oal	Elec	tricity	Gas	oline	Di	esel
City	t-stat.	Prob.*	t-stat.	Prob.*	t-stat.	Prob.*	t-stat.	Prob.*
Beijing	-2.82	0.0579	-1.68	0.4408	-3.14	0.0248	-3.21	0.0204
Tianjin	-1.09	0.7227	-1.59	0.4864	-2.36	0.1526	-2.87	0.0501
Shijiazhuang	-3.95	0.0019	-1.92	0.3210	-5.21	0.0000	-2.73	0.0709
Taiyuan	-1.87	0.3468	-1.20	0.6741	-4.29	0.0005	-4.24	0.0006
Huhehaote	-3.54	0.0074	-2.63	0.0877	-3.99	0.0016	-2.66	0.0814
Shenyang	-1.30	0.6302	-1.38	0.5933	-3.25	0.0177	-5.12	0.0000
Changchun	-1.98	0.2954	-2.83	0.0549	-3.58	0.0065	-3.40	0.0115
Harbin	-2.35	0.1576	-2.67	0.0807	-3.63	0.0056	-3.77	0.0035
Shanghai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanjing	-1.02	0.7464	-2.30	0.1735	-3.20	0.0206	-2.86	0.0509
Hangzhou	-2.16	0.2212	-1.97	0.2980	-2.56	0.1018	-2.61	0.0923
Hefei	-2.05	0.2655	-1.31	0.6257	-3.98	0.0017	-2.28	0.1804
Fuzhou	-1.13	0.7053	-1.77	0.3931	-3.77	0.0035	-3.77	0.0068
Nanchang	-2.24	0.1924	-1.57	0.4972	-5.11	0.0000	-3.84	0.0028
Jinan	-3.70	0.0044	-2.94	0.0419	-3.70	0.0044	-2.80	0.0593
Zhengzhou	-3.35	0.0136	-1.53	0.5178	-5.52	0.0000	-3.55	0.0072
Wuhan	-4.77	0.0001	-1.68	0.4395	-3.47	0.0092	-3.36	0.0129
Changsha	-2.54	0.1070	-2.31	0.1688	-2.82	0.0558	-4.08	0.0012
Guangzhou	-2.37	0.1500	-1.90	0.3338	-3.81	0.0031	-2.14	0.2308
Nanning	-3.57	0.0068	-1.36	0.6006	-2.23	0.1969	-2.74	0.0686
Haikou	-1.73	0.4170	-3.84	0.0028	-5.57	0.0000	-3.38	0.0123
Chongqing	-3.44	0.0103	-1.82	0.3710	-2.49	0.1179	-4.46	0.0003
Chengdu	-2.93	0.0430	-2.46	0.1273	-3.68	0.0047	-2.78	0.0614
Guiyang	-1.35	0.6072	-2.07	0.2570	-3.86	0.0025	-4.66	0.0001
Kunming	-3.09	0.0280	-1.58	0.4928	-3.71	0.0043	-2.27	0.1812
Lhasa	-0.90	0.7877	-2.27	0.1835	-1.88	0.3427	-2.03	0.2734
Xian	-2.58	0.0990	-1.82	0.3714	-3.23	0.0191	-3.13	0.0255
Lanzhou	-1.53	0.5186	-1.76	0.3987	-5.59	0.0000	-3.30	0.0156
Xining	-2.51	0.1139	-1.28	0.6404	-4.86	0.0001	-4.23	0.0007
Yinchuan	-2.57	0.1004	-1.37	0.5959	-4.88	0.0000	-3.93	0.0021
Wulumuqi	-2.26	0.1858	-1.50	0.5349	-3.22	0.0199	-2.60	0.0928
Qingdao	-0.90	0.7877	-1.96	0.3029	-3.77	0.0035	-3.65	0.0053
Dalian	-2.52	0.1119	-1.41	0.5790	-3.05	0.0315	-3.62	0.0058
Xiamen	-3.02	0.0344	-3.23	0.0190	-6.19	0.0000	-4.48	0.0002
Ningbo	-3.08	0.0290	-2.12	0.2389	-2.42	0.1380	-3.47	0.0093
Proportion of rejecting null	-	13/35	-	6/35	-	30/35	-	30/35

Table 1. Unit root tests for relative price series (Shanghai is used as a benchmark)

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Null hypothesis is that each series contains a unit root.

ADF is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test.

Critical values: -3.44 (1% level), -2.87 (5% level) and -2.57(10% level).

Lag length is based on modified Hannan-Quinn, Minlag=0 and Maxlag=16.

	С	loal	Elec	tricity	Gas	oline	Di	iesel
City	t-stat.	Half-life	t-stat.	half-life	t-stat.	Half-life	t-stat.	Half-life
Beijing	-2.41	3.2	-0.94	-	-0.59	2.1	-0.14	2.5
Tianjin	-1.21	-	-0.83	-	-1.47	-	-0.94	1.9
Shijiazhuang	-3.70	2.1	-1.19	-	-1.64	1.1	0.46	2.6
Taiyuan	-1.37	-	-0.92	-	-3.28	1.7	-2.10	1.3
Huhehaote	-3.37	1.9	-0.12	4.9	0.42	1.7	1.28	-
Shenyang	-0.81	-	-0.96	-	-1.66	1.6	0.44	1.2
Changchun	-1.01	-	0.578	2.6	-2.14	1.1	-0.43	1.2
Harbin	-2.28	-	-1.56	1.6	-0.21	1.3	0.78	1.7
Shanghai	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Nanjing	-1.00	-	-0.15	-	-2.45	0.6	-2.13	2.4
Hangzhou	-0.51	-	-0.37	-	-1.59	-	-1.50	2.5
Hefei	-0.94	-	-0.88	-	-2.67	4.2	-1.40	-
Fuzhou	-0.68	-	-0.02	-	1.22	1.6	0.97	2.3
Nanchang	-0.38	-	-1.25	-	-2.17	2.0	-1.72	1.5
Jinan	-3.07	1.8	-1.39	3.0	-1.82	1.2	-0.69	2.8
Zhengzhou	-3.12	2.3	-1.20	-	-1.42	0.8	-0.09	0.9
Wuhan	-3.01	1.4	-0.76	-	-0.69	1.6	-1.21	1.5
Changsha	-0.90	-	-1.22	-	-1.65	1.3	-2.19	1.1
Guangzhou	1.86	-	0.91	-	-2.53	2.4	-1.05	-
Nanning	-3.27	2.2	-0.85	-	-0.76	-	-1.12	2.9
Haikou	-0.94	-	-1.42	1.6	0.70	0.9	0.26	1.4
Chongqing	-3.62	2.8	-1.31	-	-0.29	-	-1.42	1.8
Chengdu	-1.82	3.6	-1.51	-	-1.11	2.3	0.19	2.7
Guiyang	-1.18	-	-1.00	-	-0.86	2.0	0.89	1.2
Kunming	-2.81	2.6	-1.15	-	0.83	2.1	0.98	-
Lhasa	-1.56	-	-1.61	-	1.46	-	1.63	-
Xian	-2.61	4.2	-1.37	-	-1.75	0.9	-0.39	1.4
Lanzhou	-1.83	-	-1.48	-	-2.63	1.1	0.79	2.0
Xining	-2.60	-	-0.96	-	0.05	1.3	-0.14	1.2
Yinchuan	-2.37	4.3	-1.05	-	-1.46	1.2	0.53	1.4
Wulumuqi	-2.64	-	-1.16	-	-2.53	1.7	-1.13	-
Qingdao	-1.56	-	-1.36	-	-2.13	2.4	-1.01	2.3
Dalian	-0.84	-	-0.51	-	-0.09	1.6	1.46	0.8
Xiamen	-0.21	2.4	1.04	3.4	0.27	0.9	-1.25	1.4
Ningbo	-2.14	2.4	-0.50	-	-1.40	-	-2.26	2.5
Average	-	2.7	-	2.9	-	1.6	-	1.8

Table 2. t-statistic of intercept term ( $c_{ij}$ ) and estimated half-lives(months) (Shanghai=benchmark)

Half-Lives are estimated by the expression  $\ln(0.5)/\ln(1+\alpha_{ij})$  and  $\alpha_{ij}$  come from equation (1). The averages are only for those rejecting null hypothesis.

	Co	al	Elect	ricity	Gase	oline	Die	esel
Tests (assumption)	Statistic	Prob.**	Statistic	Prob.**	Statistic	Prob.**	Statistic	Prob.**
1. Exogenous variables: none								
Null: Unit root (common unit root process)								
Levin, Lin & Chu	-5.2813	0.0000	-8.3938	0.0000	-17.4462	0.0000	-16.5273	0.0000
Null: Unit root (individual unit root process)								
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	159.277	0.0000	174.399	0.0000	506.333	0.0000	432.368	0.0000
PP - Fisher Chi-square	297.000	0.0000	1179.48	0.0000	1477.50	0.0000	1663.73	0.0000
2. Exogenous variables: individual effects								
Null: Unit root (common unit root process)								
Levin, Lin & Chu	-2.1169	0.0171	-0.5589	0.2881	-6.0979	0.0000	-3.2672	0.0005
Null: Unit root (individual unit root process)								
Im, Pesaran and Shin	-6.12602	0.0000	-3.1879	0.0007	-15.007	0.0000	-12.2398	0.0000
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	167.603	0.0000	95.8128	0.0148	418.868	0.0000	312.278	0.0000
PP - Fisher Chi-square	461.094	0.0000	1066.03	0.0000	1471.41	0.0000	1630.46	0.0000
3. Exogenous variables: individual effects and								
linear trend								
Null: Unit root (common unit root process)								
Levin, Lin & Chu	-3.7303	0.0001	1.2507	0.8945	-10.7295	0.0000	-5.2583	0.0000
Breitung	-3.8288	0.0001	-2.2417	0.0125	-11.6367	0.0000	-11.6871	0.0000
Null: Unit root (individual unit root process)								
Im, Pesaran and Shin	-4.4451	0.0000	1.7358	0.9587	-14.1982	0.0000	-9.9196	0.0000
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	127.0280	0.0000	48.327	0.9660	366.820	0.0000	237.374	0.0000
PP - Fisher Chi-square	491.1880	0.0000	1081.25	0.0000	1447.20	0.0000	1596.12	0.0000

 Table 3.
 Panel data unit root tests (Shanghai is used as a benchmark)

\*\* Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality. Lag length is based on modified Hannan-Quinn, Minlag=0 and Maxlag=16.

City	Beijing	Tianjin	Shijiazh	Taiyuan	Huhehao	Sheny	Changch	Harbin	Shanghai	Nanjing	Hangzh	Hefei	Fuzhou	Nanch	Jinan	Zhengzh
Beijing	-	-	**	-	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	**	-	-	*	**
Tianjin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	**	-	-	-
Shijiazh	**	-	-	-	**	**	-	-	**	-	-	**	-	-	-	**
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Huhehao	*	-	**	*	-	-	**	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	**	-
Sheny	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	**	*	-	*	-	-
Changch	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harbin	*	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-
Shangh	*	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	**
Nanjing	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-
Hefei	**	-	**	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	*
Fuzhou	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanch	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jinan	*	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Zhengzh	**	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	*	-
Wuhan	*	-	**	-	**	-	-	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	**	**
Changsh	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-
Guangzh	*	-	**	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nann	**	-	**	-	*	**	*	**	**	-	**	**	-	**	-	-
Haikou	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	**	-	-	-
Chongq	**	-	**	-	**	*	-	**	**	-	-	**	-	*	**	**
Chengd	**	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	**	-	-	**	-	**	-	-
Guiy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kunm	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	**	**
Lhasa	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-
Xian	**	-	**	-	-	**	-	*	-	-	**	*	*	-	-	-
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	*	**	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-

Table 4. Unit root tests for pairs of coal price series for 31 cities (null hypothesis: unit root, \* and \*\* stand for 10% and 1% significant level, respectively)

#### Table 4: continued

City	Wuhan	Changsh	Guangzh	Nanning	Haikou	Chongq	Chengdu	Guiyang	Kunm	Lhasa	Xian	Lanzhou	Xining	Yinch	Wulumu
Beijing	*	-	*	**	-	**	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-
Tianjin	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-
Shijiazh	**	-	**	**	-	**	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	*
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Huhehao	**	**	*	*	-	*	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sheny	-	-	-	**	-	*	*	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-
Changch	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harbin	*	-	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-
Shangh	**	-	-	**	-	**	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	*	-
Nanjing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	**	-	-
Hefei	-	-	-	**	-	**	**	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	*
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	*	-	-
Nanch	-	-	-	**	-	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-
Jinan	**	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zhengzh	**	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wuhan	-	**	**	**	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changsh	**	-	-	-	-	**	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guangzh	**	-	-	**	-	*	-	-	*	-	**	-	-	-	-
Nann	**	-	**	-	-	**	**	-	-	-	**	-	*	-	-
Haikou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-
Chongq	**	**	*	**	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-
Chengd	-	**	-	**	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guiy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kunm	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lhasa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xian	-	-	**	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	*	-	**	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

City	Beijing	Tianjin	Shijiazh	Taiyuan	Huhehao	Sheny	Changch	Harbin	Shanghai	Nanjing	Hangzh	Hefei	Fuzhou	Nanch	Jinan	Zhengzh
Beijing	-	-	3.6	-	3.4	-	-	4.4	3.2	-	-	3.1	-	-	9.2	3.3
Tianjin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.1	-	-	3.5	-	-	-
Shijiazh	3.6	-	-	-	3.1	3.7	-	-	2.1	-	-	2.8	-	-	-	3.4
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.9
Huhehao	3.4	-	3.1	3.8	-	-	3.2	-	1.9	-	-	3.7	-	-	3.0	-
Sheny	-	-	3.7	-	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	5.2	4.1	-	2.5	-	-
Changch	-	-	-	-	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harbin	4.4	-	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.3	-	-
Shangh	3.2	-	2.1	-	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	2.3
Nanjing	-	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	-	-	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	-	-	-	-
Hefei	3.1	-	2.8	-	3.7	4.1	-	-	-	-	5.0	-	-	-	-	5.5
Fuzhou	-	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanch	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jinan	9.2	-	-	-	3.0	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.9
Zhengzh	3.3	-	3.4	5.9	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	5.5	-	-	5.9	-
Wuhan	4.8	-	4.3	-	1.9	-	-	7.4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	6.2	4.0
Changsh	-	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.7	-
Guangzh	4.6	-	3.2	-	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nann	2.2	-	1.7	-	4.7	1.7	4.3	2.8	2.2	-	1.9	2.0	-	2.6	-	-
Haikou	-	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.6	-	3.7	-	-	-
Chongq	4.4	-	5.6	-	3.8	7.0	-	5.9	2.8	-	-	3.5	-	6.7	6.6	4.3
Chengd	3.0	-	5.3	-	-	7.0	-	-	3.6	-	-	3.1	-	3.9	-	-
Guiy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kunm	-	-	-	-	5.1	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	4.5
Lhasa	-	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7	-	-	-
Xian	5.3	-	4.9	-	-	4.2	-	3.5	-	-	1.8	4.2	4.1	-	-	-
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	3.9	3.1	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.0	-	-	-	-

Table 5. The estimated half-lives for coal (months) for those rejecting null hypothesis (unit root)

Table 5: con	tinued														
City	Wuhan	Changsh	Guangzh	Nanning	Haikou	Chongq	Chengdu	Guiyang	Kunm	Lhasa	Xian	Lanzhou	Xining	Yinch	Wulumu
Beijing	4.8	-	4.6	2.2	-	4.4	3.0	-	-	-	5.3	-	-	-	-
Tianjin	-	-	-	-	5.5	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-	-
Shijiazh	4.3	-	3.2	1.7	-	5.6	5.3	-	-	-	4.9	-	-	-	9.0
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Huhehao	1.9	2.1	3.7	4.7	-	3.8	-	-	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sheny	-	-	-	1.7	-	7.0	7.0	-	-	-	4.2	-	-	-	-
Changch	-	-	-	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harbin	7.4	-	-	2.8	-	5.9	-	-	-	-	3.5	-	-	-	-
Shangh	1.4	-	-	2.2	-	2.8	3.6	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	4.3	-
Nanjing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	1.9	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	2.5	-	-
Hefei	-	-	-	2.0	-	3.5	3.1	-	-	-	4.2	-	-	-	8.0
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	3.7	-	-	-	-	4.7	4.1	-	3.9	-	-
Nanch	-	-	-	2.6	-	6.7	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-
Jinan	6.2	3.7	-	-	-	6.6	-	-	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zhengzh	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.3	-	-	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wuhan	-	4.2	3.2	3.8	-	4.9	-	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changsh	4.2	-	-	-	-	5.5	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guangzh	3.2	-	-	2.8	-	5.8	-	-	3.4	-	4.6	-	-	-	-
Nann	3.8	-	2.8	-	-	4.4	3.4	-	-	-	2.6	-	2.0	-	-
Haikou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	-
Chongq	4.9	5.5	5.8	4.4	-	-	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	5.5	-	-
Chengd	-	6.2	-	3.4	-	4.3	-	-	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guiy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kunm	4.8	-	3.4	-	-	-	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lhasa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xian	-	-	4.6	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	2.0	-	5.5	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-

City	Beijing	Tianjin	Shijiazh	Taiyuan	Huhehao	Sheny	Changch	Harbin	Shanghai	Nanjing	Hangzh	Hefei	Fuzhou	Nanch	Jinan	Zhengzh
Beijing	-	-	<	>	<>	>	-	>	<>	-	<	>	-	>	<	>
Tianjin	-	-	-	-	<	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	>	-	-	-
Shijiazh	>	-	-	>	>	>	-	<>	<>	-	>	<>	-	>	<	<>
Taiyuan	<	-	<	-	>	<>	<>	<>	<>	-	<>	<>	<	<>	<	<>
Huhehao	<>	>	<	<	-	<	<>	>	<>	>	<>	<	-	<>	<	<
Sheny	<	-	<	<>	>	-	>	>	<>	-	>	>	-	<>	<	-
Changch	-	-	-	<>	<>	<	-	>	>	-	-	<	-	<	<	-
Harbin	<	-	<>	<>	<	<	<	-	<>	-	<	<	-	<>	<	<
Shangh	<>	-	<>	<>	<>	<>	<	<>	-	-	<>	<>	-	<>	<>	<>
Nanjing	-	-	-	-	<	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	>	-	-	-
Hangzh	>	-	<	<>	<>	<	-	>	<>	-	-	>	>	>	<	<>
Hefei	<	-	<>	<>	>	<	>	>	<>	-	<	-	<>	<>	<	>
Fuzhou	-	<	-	>	-	-	-	-	-	<	<	<>	-	-	>	-
Nanch	<	-	<	<>	<>	<>	>	<>	<>	-	<	<>	-	-	-	<
Jinan	>	-	>	>	>	>	>	>	<>	-	>	>	<	-	-	>
Zhengzh	<	-	<>	<>	>	-	-	>	<>	-	<>	<	-	>	<	-
Wuhan	>	-	<	>	>	>	>	>	>	-	>	>	-	>	>	>
Changsh	<>	-	<>	<>	>	<	<>	>	<>	-	<>	<	-	<	<	<
Guangzh	>	-	<>	<>	<>	>	-	<>	<>	>	>	>	-	>	>	>
Nann	<>	-	<>	<>	<	<	<>	<	<>	>	<>	<	-	<>	<	<
Haikou	>	<	-	-	>	-	-	>	-	-	>	>	-	<	-	>
Chongq	<>	-	<	<	>	>	>	>	>	-	>	>	<	>	<	-
Chengd	<	-	<	>	>	>	>	<>	<>	-	<	<>	<	<>	<	<>
Guiy	-	-	-	>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	>	-	>	-
Kunm	>	-	<>	>	>	>	-	>	<>	-	>	>	-	>	>	>
Lhasa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xian	<>	<>	<	-	<	<	<>	-	<>	-	<>	<>	-	-	<>	>
Lanzh	>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<	-	-
Xining	<>	-	<	<	<>	<>	-	<>	<>	-	<	<>	-		<	<>
Yinch	<>	-	<	<>	>	<>	>	>	>	-	<>	>	<	>	>	>
Wulumu	-	<	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6. Causality among coal price series (<> stands for column and row causes each other, > stands for column causes row and < stands for row causes column)

Table 6: con	tinued														
City	Wuhan	Changsh	Guangzh	Nanning	Haikou	Chongq	Chengdu	Guiyang	Kunm	Lhasa	Xian	Lanzhou	Xining	Yinch	Wulumu
Beijing	<	<>	<	<>	<	<>	>	-	<	-	<>	<	<>	<>	-
Tianjin	-	-	-	-	>	-	-	-	-	-	<>	-	-	-	>
Shijiazh	>	<>	<>	<>	-	>	>	-	<>	-	>	-	>	>	-
Taiyuan	<	<>	<>	<>	-	>	<	<	<	-	-	-	>	<>	-
Huhehao	<	<	<>	>	<	<	<	-	<	-	>	-	<>	<	-
Sheny	<	>	<	>	-	<	<	-	<	-	>	-	<>	<>	-
Changch	<	<>	-	<>	-	<	<	-	-	-	<>	-	-	<	-
Harbin	<	<	<>	>	<	<	<>	-	<	-	-	-	<>	<	-
Shangh	<	<>	<>	<>	-	<	<>	-	<>	-	<>	-	<>	<	-
Nanjing	-	-	<	<	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	<	<>	<	<>	<	<	>	-	<	-	<>	-	>	<>	-
Hefei	<	>	<	>	<	<	<>	-	<	-	<>	-	<>	<	-
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	-	>	>	<	-	-	-	-	-	>	-
Nanch	<	>	<	<>	>	<	<>	-	<	-	-	>	-	<	-
Jinan	<	>	<	>	-	>	>	<	<	-	<>	-	>	<	-
Zhengzh	<	>	<	>	<	-	<>	-	<	-	<	-	<>	<	-
Wuhan	-	>	>	>	<	>	>	-	<>	-	>	-	<>	>	-
Changsh	<	-	<>	>	<	<	<	-	<	-	>	-	<>	<	-
Guangzh	<	<>	-	<>	>	>	>	-	<>	-	>	-	>	<>	-
Nann	<	<	<>	-	<	<	<>	-	<	-	<	-	<>	<	-
Haikou	>	>	<	>	-	-	-	-	>	-	<>	>	<>	>	<
Chongq	<	>	<	>	-	-	<	-	<	-	>	-	>	<	-
Chengd	<	>	<	<>	-	>	-	-	<	-	<	-	>	<	-
Guiy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	>	-
Kunm	<>	>	<>	>	<	>	>	-	-	-	>	-	<>	<>	-
Lhasa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xian	<	<	<	>	<>	<	>	-	<	-	-	-	<>	<	<
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	<		-	-	-	-	-	-	<	-	<>
Xining	<>	<>	<	<>	<>	<	<	-	<>	-	<>	>	-	<>	-
Yinch	<	>	<>	>	<	>	>	<	<>	-	>	-	<>	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	>	-	-	-	-	-	>	<>	-	-	-

	C	oal	Elec	tricity	Gas	oline	Di	esel
City	t-stat.	Prob.*	t-stat.	Prob.*	t-stat.	Prob.*	t-stat.	Prob.*
Beijing	-0.71	0.8413	-0.85	0.8031	0.23	0.9743	-0.64	0.8587
Tianjin	-2.51	0.1142	-0.38	0.9090	0.30	0.9781	-0.30	0.9219
Shijiazhuang	-0.91	0.7853	-1.55	0.5055	0.43	0.9841	-0.70	0.8444
Taiyuan	-0.38	0.9093	-0.19	0.9366	-0.01	0.9558	-0.50	0.8888
Huhehaote	0.01	0.9576	-2.15	0.2241	0.19	0.9720	-0.66	0.8537
Shenyang	-1.15	0.6974	-1.27	0.6430	0.17	0.9702	-0.82	0.8117
Changchun	-0.47	0.8940	-1.71	0.4257	-0.20	0.9353	-0.71	0.8415
Harbin	-1.15	0.6959	-1.79	0.3872	0.23	0.9743	-0.96	0.7669
Shanghai	-0.99	0.7570	-1.89	0.3352	-0.03	0.9545	-0.47	0.8931
Nanjing	-2.28	0.1801	-1.68	0.4417	0.15	0.9692	-0.57	0.8729
Hangzhou	-0.70	0.8445	-2.66	0.0818	0.28	0.9771	-0.35	0.9143
Hefei	-0.23	0.9320	-0.17	0.9396	0.61	0.9898	-0.11	0.9463
Fuzhou	-2.63	0.0878	-1.94	0.3128	0.15	0.9687	-0.73	0.8352
Nanchang	-1.32	0.6233	-1.97	0.3002	0.10	0.9658	-0.04	0.9535
Jinan	-0.20	0.9357	-1.83	0.3641	0.09	0.9647	-0.38	0.9096
Zhengzhou	0.14	0.9685	-1.98	0.2949	0.19	0.9717	-0.12	0.9449
Wuhan	-0.01	0.9559	-1.22	0.6666	0.70	0.9921	-0.22	0.9331
Changsha	0.54	0.9880	-1.72	0.4199	0.13	0.9678	-0.64	0.8583
Guangzhou	-0.27	0.9264	-1.96	0.3050	0.21	0.9729	-0.67	0.8510
Nanning	-0.80	0.8177	-1.23	0.6644	0.29	0.9774	-0.49	0.8906
Haikou	-1.73	0.4164	-1.75	0.4065	0.23	0.9743	-0.72	0.8396
Chongqing	-1.60	0.4819	-2.03	0.2729	0.29	0.9777	-0.10	0.9469
Chengdu	-0.70	0.8450	-1.49	0.5379	-0.21	0.9349	-0.27	0.9265
Guiyang	-1.54	0.5101	-2.40	0.1421	-0.02	0.9551	-0.53	0.8812
Kunming	-0.56	0.8765	-0.72	0.8392	-3.85	0.0027	-0.92	0.7815
Lhasa	-	-	-1.89	0.3353	-0.36	0.9121	-1.28	0.6396
Xian	-2.14	0.2285	-2.98	0.0375	0.21	0.9733	-0.71	0.8416
Lanzhou	-2.06	0.2600	-2.28	0.1796	0.61	0.9898	-0.42	0.9032
Xining	0.24	0.9747	-0.58	0.8720	-4.73	0.0001	0.12	0.9672
Yinchuan	0.53	0.9877	-0.84	0.8075	0.42	0.9835	-0.62	0.8632
Wulumuqi	-2.14	0.2289	-2.21	0.2021	0.38	0.9820	-0.53	0.8817
Qingdao	-	-	-1.95	0.3072	0.24	0.9747	-0.28	0.9254
Dalian	-1.86	0.3500	-1.10	0.7163	0.09	0.9650	-1.30	0.6299
Xiamen	-1.24	0.6561	-1.98	0.2975	-0.03	0.9545	-0.79	0.8194
Ningbo	-0.88	0.7944	-1.86	0.3516	0.27	0.9766	-0.26	0.9276

Appendix 1. Unit root tests for raw price series (level)

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values. Null hypothesis is that each series contains a unit root. ADF is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test. Critical values: -3.44 (1% level), -2.87 (5% level) and -2.57(10% level).

Lag length is based on modified Hannan-Quinn, Minlag=0 and Maxlag=16.

_	C	loal	Elec	tricity
City	t-statistic	Probability*	t-statistic	Probability*
Beijing	-4.93	0.0000	-29.65	0.0000
Tianjin	-19.77	0.0000	-32.86	0.0000
Shijiazhuang	-14.06	0.0000	-9.83	0.0000
Taiyuan	-22.06	0.0000	-33.22	0.0000
Huhehaote	-9.20	0.0000	-30.45	0.0000
Shenyang	-11.37	0.0000	-11.13	0.0000
Changchun	-4.52	0.0002	-33.52	0.0000
Harbin	-21.36	0.0000	-27.74	0.0000
Shanghai	-21.06	0.0000	-31.29	0.0000
Nanjing	-20.89	0.0000	-29.63	0.0000
Hangzhou	-5.70	0.0000	-30.50	0.0000
Hefei	-18.47	0.0000	-33.14	0.0000
Fuzhou	-10.03	0.0000	-32.61	0.0000
Nanchang	-23.39	0.0000	-11.51	0.0000
Jinan	-5.53	0.0000	-27.18	0.0000
Zhengzhou	-19.86	0.0000	-6.98	0.0000
Wuhan	-8.10	0.0000	-28.84	0.0000
Changsha	-12.11	0.0000	-23.62	0.0000
Guangzhou	-19.44	0.0000	-29.34	0.0000
Nanning	-20.47	0.0000	-26.90	0.0000
Haikou	-10.93	0.0000	-32.77	0.0000
Chongqing	-7.88	0.0000	-10.91	0.0000
Chengdu	-6.93	0.0000	-32.20	0.0000
Guiyang	-6.73	0.0000	-28.91	0.0000
Kunming	-14.13	0.0000	-26.04	0.0000
Lhasa	-	-	-11.28	0.0000
Xian	-26.18	0.0000	-34.10	0.0000
Lanzhou	-6.66	0.0000	-30.67	0.0000
Xining	-19.20	0.0000	-35.04	0.0000
Yinchuan	-8.13	0.0000	-21.56	0.0000
Wulumuqi	-27.73	0.0000	-31.08	0.0000
Qingdao	-	-	-19.82	0.0000
Dalian	-7.84	0.0000	-30.65	0.0000
Xiamen	-10.10	0.0000	-28.36	0.0000
Ningbo	-21.22	0.0000	-31.33	0.0000

Appendix 2. Unit root tests for coal and electricity raw price series (first difference)

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Null hypothesis is that each series contains a unit root. ADF is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test.

Critical values: -3.44 (1% level), -2.87 (5% level) and -2.57(10% level).

Lag length is based on modified Hannan-Quinn, Minlag=0 and Maxlag=16.

City	Beijing	Tianjin	Shijiazh	Taiyuan	Huhehao	Sheny	Changch	Harbin	Shanghai	Nanjing	Hangzh	Hefei	Fuzhou	Nanch	Jinan	Zhengzh
Beijing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Tianjin	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Shijiazh	-	*	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	**
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Huhehao	-	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	*	**	-	-	-	**	-	**
Sheny	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	**	-	**
Harbin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	**	-
Shangh	-	-	-	-	*	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-
Nanjing	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hefei	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanch	-	-	*	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	*
Jinan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	**	-	-	-	-	**	-	*
Zhengzh	*	*	**	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	-
Wuhan	-	**	*	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changsh	*	-	**	-	**	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	**	-	*
Guangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nann	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haikou	-	-	*	-	*	-	**	**	**	-	-	-	-	**	-	**
Chongq	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	*
Chengd	-	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	**
Guiy	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	**	-	-	-
Kunm	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Lhasa	-	-	*	-	*	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	**	**	**
Xian	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	**	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Appendix 3. Unit root tests for pairs of electricity price series for 31 cities (null hypothesis: unit root, \* and \*\* stand for 10% and 1% significant level, respectively)

Appendix 3	: continued
------------	-------------

City	Wuhan	Changsh	Guangzh	Nanning	Haikou	Chongq	Chengdu	Guiyang	Kunm	Lhasa	Xian	Lanzhou	Xining	Yinch	Wulumu
Beijing	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tianjin	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-
Shijiazh	*	**	-	-	*	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-
Huhehao	**	**	-	-	*	-	**	-	*	*	-	*	-	-	-
Sheny	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	**	-
Changch	-	**	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-
Harbin	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shangh	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanjing	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	**	-	-	-
Hefei	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	*	-	-	-	-
Nanch	-	**	-	-	**	*	**	-	*	**	-	-	-	-	-
Jinan	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-
Zhengzh	-	*	-	-	**	*	**	-	*	**	-	-	-	-	-
Wuhan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	**	**	-	-	-
Changsh	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nann	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haikou	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-
Chongq	-	-	-	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chengd	-	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	**	*	-	-	-	*
Guiy	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	**	-	**	-
Kunm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lhasa	-	-	-	-	**	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xian	**	-	-	-	-	-	*	**	-	-	-	**	-	-	-
Lanzh	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	**	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

City	Beijing	Tianjin	Shijiazh	Taiyuan	Huhehao	Sheny	Changch	Harbin	Shanghai	Nanjing	Hangzh	Hefei	Fuzhou	Nanch	Jinan	Zhengzh
Beijing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3
Tianjin	-	-	3.6	-	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4
Shijiazh	-	3.6	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	-	2.4
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Huhehao	-	3.5	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	4.9	4.2	-	-	-	2.4	-	2.3
Sheny	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	1.9
Harbin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	4.2	-	-	-	-	2.9	-
Shangh	-	-	-	-	4.9	-	2.6	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	-
Nanjing	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	-	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hefei	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanch	-	-	3.2	-	2.4	-	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.7	2.4
Jinan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.9	3.0	-	-	-	-	5.7	-	5.8
Zhengzh	4.3	3.4	2.4	-	2.3	-	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.4	5.8	-
Wuhan	-	2.3	4.1	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changsh	3.5	-	2.5	-	2.4	-	2.3	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	4.0	-	3.9
Guangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nann	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haikou	-	-	1.8	-	2.8	-	1.3	1.8	1.6	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	2.2
Chongq	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	6.0	2.6
Chengd	-	-	1.3	-	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	1.8
Guiy	-	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.1	-	3.1	-	-	-
Kunm	-	-	-	-	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.1	-	3.9
Lhasa	-	-	4.6	-	3.0	-	2.0	-	-	4.4	-	-	-	1.7	5.6	2.7
Xian	-	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.8	-	2.7	-	-	-
Lanzh	-	-	-	-	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	1.2	-	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Appendix 4. The estimated half-lives for electricity (months) for those rejecting null hypothesis (unit root)

Appendix 4:	continued														
City	Wuhan	Changsh	Guangzh	Nanning	Haikou	Chongq	Chengdu	Guiyang	Kunm	Lhasa	Xian	Lanzhou	Xining	Yinch	Wulumu
Beijing	-	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tianjin	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5	-	-	2.9	-	-	-	-
Shijiazh	4.1	2.5	-	-	1.8	-	1.3	-	-	4.6	-	-	-	-	-
Taiyuan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	-	-
Huhehao	3.4	2.4	-	-	2.8	-	3.2	-	2.9	3.0	-	2.9	-	-	-
Sheny	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	3.1	-
Changch	-	2.3	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	-
Harbin	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shangh	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nanjing	-	2.1	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.4	-	-	-	-	-
Hangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.1	-	-	2.8	1.6	-	-	-
Hefei	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fuzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	-
Nanch	-	4.0	-	-	1.5	3.4	1.7	-	5.1	1.7	-	-	-	-	-
Jinan	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	-	5.6	-	-	-	-	-
Zhengzh	-	3.9	-	-	2.2	2.6	1.8	-	3.9	2.7	-	-	-	-	-
Wuhan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	-	-	2.5	1.5	-	-	-
Changsh	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guangzh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nann	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haikou	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	-	1.9	-	-	-	-	-
Chongq	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chengd	-	1.9	-	-	-	2.4	-	-	-	2.2	4.3	-	-	-	2.7
Guiy	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.9	3.0	-	3.3	-
Kunm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lhasa	-	-	-	-	1.9	-	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xian	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	2.9	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-
Lanzh	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-
Xining	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yinch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wulumu	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

City	Beijing	Tianjin	Shijiazh	Taiyuan	Huhehao	Sheny	Changch	Harbin	Shanghai	Nanjing	Hangzh	Hefei	Fuzhou	Nanch	Jinan	Zhengzh
Beijing		>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		>			>	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$
Tianjin	<		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$		<		<	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	<	<	$\diamond$
Shijiazh	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$			>		>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	>	>	>	>	$\diamond$
Taiyuan	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$			$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	<	<	<
Huhehao	$\diamond$	<	<	$\diamond$				<			$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$
Sheny		$\langle \rangle$		$\diamond$								$\langle \rangle$				
Changch	<		<	$\diamond$							$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	<	$\diamond$
Harbin		>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>				$\diamond$		$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$
Shangh			$\diamond$	$\diamond$				$\diamond$			$\diamond$		$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$
Nanjing	<	>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$							$\diamond$	<>	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	<	$\diamond$
Hangzh	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		<>	<	<	$\langle \rangle$	<
Hefei	<	$\langle \rangle$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	<		$\diamond$	$\diamond$					$\diamond$
Fuzhou	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>			<	$\diamond$	<
Nanch	>	>	<	>	>		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		>		>	>
Jinan		>	<	>			>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	<		
Zhengzh	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\langle \rangle$	>	<		
Wuhan	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		>				$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$
Changsh	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	>	>	>	>	>
Guangzh	$\diamond$	>	<	$\diamond$	>	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<	<	<
Nann	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	<	>	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		>	<			
Haikou	<	$\langle \rangle$	<	$\diamond$	>		>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	<	<	$\diamond$
Chongq		>	<	>	>		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	>	<	>	<		>
Chengd	<	>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		<		<	<		$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$
Guiy			>								$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	>	$\langle \rangle$	>
Kunm	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$	>	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	>	$\diamond$	<		>
Lhasa	>	>	<	>	>		>	>	$\diamond$	>	>		>	$\langle \rangle$	>	>
Xian	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	>	<	<	$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$
Lanzh	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	>		<	$\diamond$	<	<	<
Xining	<	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\langle \rangle$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	>	$\langle \rangle$		<	<	<
Yinch	<	$\langle \rangle$			<	>						>	$\diamond$			
Wulumu	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	>	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$			<	<	$\diamond$	<

Appendix 5. Causality among electricity price series (<> stands for column and row causes each other, > stands for column causes row and < stands for row causes column)

Appendix 5:	continued														
City	Wuhan	Changsh	Guangzh	Nanning	Haikou	Chongq	Chengdu	Guiyang	Kunm	Lhasa	Xian	Lanzhou	Xining	Yinch	Wulumu
Beijing	>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		>		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	>	$\diamond$
Tianjin	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	<		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$
Shijiazh	$\diamond$	<	>	>	>	>	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$		>
Taiyuan	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$		<	<		$\diamond$	$\diamond$		<
Huhehao	<	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<	<			$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$
Sheny			>	<			>		$\diamond$		>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	>
Changch	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$			$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$
Harbin		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$
Shangh		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$
Nanjing		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	<			$\diamond$	<	<	<	<		$\diamond$
Hangzh	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	<	>		<		
Hefei	$\diamond$	<	>	<		>	<	>	<		>	>	$\diamond$	<	
Fuzhou	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	>
Nanch	>	<	>		>	>	$\diamond$	<	>	$\diamond$	>	>	>		>
Jinan		<	>		>		$\diamond$	$\diamond$		<		>	>		$\diamond$
Zhengzh	$\diamond$	<	>		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<	<	<	$\diamond$	>	>		>
Wuhan		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	>		>		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$
Changsh	$\diamond$		>	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>			>	$\diamond$		>
Guangzh	>	<		$\diamond$	<	<	>		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$
Nann	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		<		$\diamond$		$\diamond$		>	>	>	>	
Haikou	<	$\diamond$	>	>		<	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$
Chongq			>		>		$\diamond$			<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		>
Chengd	<	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$			$\diamond$						
Guiy		$\diamond$			<				>		>	<			$\diamond$
Kunm	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	<			$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	<	<
Lhasa	>		>		>	>	$\diamond$				>	>	>		>
Xian	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	<		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<				$\diamond$	
Lanzh	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	$\diamond$	<			<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$
Xining	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$		<	<		>		<	
Yinch	<		>	<			$\diamond$		>		$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>		
Wulumu	$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$		$\diamond$	<	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	>	<		$\diamond$			